



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/313,278		05/18/1999	DAVID M. GOLDENBERG	018733/916 3688	
26633	7590	05/24/2004		EXAMINER	
		WHITE & MCA	RIMELL, SAMUEL G		
1666 K STR SUITE 300	EET,NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	TON, DC	20006		2175	7.2

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

fn

4 - 4	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. Advisory Action	09/313,278	GOLDENBERG, DA	VID M.			
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sam Rimell	2175	_			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 10 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amounth that the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.			
NOTE:						
3. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	. , , ,		and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appl	roved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. ☐ Other:	· , · · , <u>-</u>	./				
		Sam Rimell Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2175				



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: (1) Applicant argues that Douglas et al. does not disclose the step of determining a user sophistication. Examiner maintains that determining points earned correlates to a determining of user sophistication, as stated in the final office action. Contrary to applicant's arguments, user sophistication does not necessarily imply educational level. (2) Applicant argues that claim 51 calls for remote surgery and not just the concept of being referred for surgery. As stated in the final office action in association with claim 51, the patient is a remote patient, not a local patient. Thus any surgery performed on that patient would be remote surgery. Information signals sent to refer the patient for surgery at a remote location results in remote surgery.